1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1:13-cv-02083-LJO-MJS (PC) 11 RAMON ARCEO, 12 Plaintiff. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 13 ٧. (Document# 21) 14 J. GONZALES, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 On July 21, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. 17 Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. 18 19 Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District 20 Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain 21 exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel 22 pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. 23 Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court 24 will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In 25 determining whether "exceptional circumstances exist, a district court must evaluate both 26 the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his 27

claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (internal

28

quotation marks and citations omitted).

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <u>Id.</u>

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 21) is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2014 /sl Michael J. Seng

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE