1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GORDON D. MEADOR,	1:14-cv-00006-DAD-DLB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	v.	
14	K. AYE, et al.,	(Document# 63)
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On May 16, 2016, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff	
18	does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u> , 113	
19	F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern	
21	<u>District of Iowa</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain	
22	exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to	
23	section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.	
24	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek	
25	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether	
26	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of	
27	the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the	

complexity of the legal issues involved." $\underline{\text{Id}}$. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

28

1	In the present case, the court has repeatedly sought to obtain volunteer counsel to	
2	represent Plaintiff. Unfortunately, efforts have proven unsuccessful. Without the availability of	
3	volunteer counsel willing to substitute into the case, the court cannot appoint counsel.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: May 18, 2016 /s/ Dennis L. Beck	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		