

1 COOLEY LLP
 WHITTY SOMVICHIAN (194463)
 2 (wsomvichian@cooley.com)
 DAVID S. HOUSKA (295918)
 3 (dhouska@cooley.com)
 101 California Street, 5th Floor
 4 San Francisco, CA 94111-5800
 Telephone: (415) 693-2000
 5 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

6 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
 PAR SPRINGER-MILLER SYSTEMS, INC.

7 WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN
 8 GRODIN, LAW CORPORATION
 ALDEN J. PARKER (196808)
 9 (aparker@weintraub.com)
 MEAGAN D. CHRISTIANSEN (240679)
 10 (mchristiansen@weintraub.com)

11 Attorneys for Cross-Plaintiff
 HARRIS FARMS, INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 FRESNO DIVISION

16 JOSE GONZALEZ, on behalf of himself
 17 and all others similarly situated,

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 HARRIS RANCH BEEF COMPANY, a
 California corporation; HARRIS RANCH
 21 BEEF HOLDING COMPANY, a
 California corporation; HARRIS FARMS,
 22 INC., a California corporation; and DOES
 2 through 10, inclusive,

23 Defendants.

24 HARRIS FARMS, INC., a California
 25 corporation,

26 Cross-Complainant,

27 v.
 28

Case No. 1:14-cv-00038-LIO-SAB

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY
 BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Complaint Filed: December 4, 2013
 Cross-Complaint Filed: June 2, 2014

Trial Date: Not yet set

1 SPRINGER-MILLER SYSTEMS, INC., a
2 Vermont corporation and DOES 1-20,
3 inclusive,

4 Cross-Defendants.

5 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 143 and 230, Cross-Plaintiff Harris Farms, Inc. (“Harris
6 Farms”) and Cross-Defendant PAR Springer-Miller Systems, Inc. (“PAR Springer-Miller”)
7 (Harris Farms and PAR Springer-Miller collectively “the Parties”), stipulate and agree as follows:

8 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Jose Gonzalez filed a putative class action lawsuit against Harris
9 Farms on December 4, 2013;

10 WHEREAS, Harris Farms subsequently filed a cross-complaint against PAR Springer-
11 Miller on June 2, 2014;

12 WHEREAS, PAR Springer-Miller filed its Motion to Dismiss the Cross Complaint
13 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) on July 29, 2014;

14 WHEREAS, PAR Springer-Miller initially scheduled a hearing on its Motion for August
15 28, 2014;

16 WHEREAS, Civil Local Rules 143 and 230 collectively allow the parties to jointly
17 request the court to continue the hearing and modify the briefing schedule accordingly;

18 WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties agree that changing the date and briefing schedule for
19 the hearing will be more convenient for the Parties;

20 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties agree and request that the hearing be continued until
21 September 11 at 8:30 am, that Harris Farms’ deadline to file its opposition to the Motion be set to
22 August 21, and that PAR Springer-Miller’s deadline to file its reply be set to September 4.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED:

The hearing on PAR Springer-Miller’s Motion to Dismiss the Cross-Complaint be continued until September 11 at 8:30 am, that Harris Farms’ deadline to file its opposition to the Motion be set at August 21, and that PAR Springer-Miller’s deadline to file its reply be set to September 4.

SO ORDERED
Dated: August 5, 2014

/s/ Lawrence J. O’Neill
United States District Judge