| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | ZANE HUBBARD, | Case No. 1:14-cv-00042-AWI-JLT (PC) |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | ODDED DENIVING DI AINTHEE'S |
| 11 | V. | ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION |
| 12 | GIPSON, et al., | (Doc. 24) |
| 13 | Defendants. | (Duc. 24) |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Plaintiff, Zane Hubbard, is a state prisoner who is proceeding pro se and in forma | |
| 16 | pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint in | |
| 17 | this action on January 13, 2014. (Doc. 1.) The action was screened and Plaintiff was twice | |
| 18 | granted leave to amend, but the ultimate result was dismissal on November 17, 2014 as the action | |
| 19 | was barred by Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994) and the complaint failed to state a | |
| 20 | cognizable claim. (See Docs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 17.) Subsequently, Plaintiff filed an appeal in the | |
| 21 | Ninth Circuit which was dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee. (Docs. 19-21, 25, | |
| 22 | 26.) On February 2, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for emergency relief in which he seeks transfer | |
| 23 | to another prison, a change in his housing, access to the law library for six months, an injunctive | |
| 24 | restraining order against Corcoran State Prison employees. (Doc. 24.) Thus, it is properly | |
| 25 | construed as a motion for injunctive relief. | |
| 26 | Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction and in considering a request for | |
| 27 | preliminary injunctive relief, the Court is bound by the requirement that as a preliminary matter, it | |
| 28 | have before it an actual case or controve | rsy. City of Los Angeles v. Lyons, 461 U.S. 95, 102, 103 |

| 1 | S.Ct. 1660, 1665 (1983); Valley Forge Christian Coll. v. Ams. United for Separation of Church | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | and State, Inc., 454 U.S. 464, 471 (1982). If the Court does not have an actual case or | |
| 3 | controversy before it, it has no power to hear the matter in question. <i>Id.</i> Here, this action remains | |
| 4 | closed following the dismissal for failure to state a claim. The dismissal order is now final. Thus, | |
| 5 | the Court lacks jurisdiction to consider Plaintiff's request. | |
| 6 | Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for emergency relief and | |
| 7 | for extension of time, filed February 2, 2015 (Doc. 24), is DENIED for lack of jurisdiction. | |
| 8 | Plaintiff is not to construe this order as an invitation to submit further filings in this action. | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 11 | Dated: <u>April 22, 2015</u> | |
| 12 | SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |
| | 2 | |