1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT DeWAYNE BOSLEY, JR. ,	1:14-cv-00049-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13	V.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
14	M. VELASCO, et al,	(Document# 5)
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Robert DeWayne Bosley, Jr. ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro	
18	se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 23, 2014, Plaintiff	
19	filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 5.)	
20	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action,	
21	Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an	
22	attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United	
23	States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989).	
24	In certain exceptional circumstances the Court may request the voluntary	
25	assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.	
26	However, without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the	
27	Court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In	
28	determining whether "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate	

both the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate
 his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id.</u> (internal
 quotation marks and citations omitted).

In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional
circumstances. Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that
he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is
not exceptional. This Court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early
stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely to
succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record in this case, the Court does
not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is
DENIED, without prejudice.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 26, 2014

1st Michael J. Seng

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE