1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STACY NEWMAN,	Case No. 1:14-cv-00054-JLO-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
13	V.	TO DISMISS ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO PAY
14	LACKNER, et al.,	FILING FEE OR FILE APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
15	Defendants.	(Doc. 3)
16		
17		THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE
18	Plaintiff, Stacy Newman, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action on	
19	January 15, 2014. On January 21, 2014, the Court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to either pay	
20	the \$400.00 filing fee in full or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis on the form	
21	provided with the order, within forty-five days. More than forty-five days have passed and	
22	Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the Court's order. Plaintiff was warned	
23	that dismissal would occur if he failed to obey the order.	
24	A civil action may not proceed absent the submission of either the filing fee or a completed	
25	application to proceed in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915. Based on Plaintiff's failure to	
26	comply with the Court's order, dismissal of this action is appropriate. In re Phenylpropanolamine	
27	(PPA) Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006); Local Rule 110.	
28	///	

Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee or file a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 30 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the Court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 1, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE