

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
FRESNO DIVISION

ARTHUR DUANE JACKSON, et al. ,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01055-LJO-SAB

COREY LAMAR SMITH, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00060-LJO-SAB

IDRIS NAWABI,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CATES, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-00272-LJO-SAB

JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN ORDER

On December 5, 2014, the Court conducted an informal telephonic conference to discuss the parties' development of a joint discovery plan in these and other cases that are or will be related or consolidated. This order addresses procedures to avoid the need to conduct duplicative

1 discovery. The respective schedules for conducting discovery in these cases are or will be  
2 addressed in separate orders.

3 **I.**

4 **DEPOSITIONS**

5 Witnesses will not have to sit for a deposition more than once on the same noticed subject,  
6 except by order of the court applying the normal rules for successive depositions. Any deposition  
7 notices served during the class-certification phase in Jackson will be limited to issues directed to  
8 class certification.

9 The parties have not yet reached a consensus as to what constitutes class-certification  
10 discovery as opposed to merits discovery. To identify witnesses with relevant information, to  
11 enable witnesses and counsel to effectively prepare for depositions, and to minimize disputes  
12 arising during depositions, counsel will confer a sufficient time in advance of any deposition and  
13 attempt to reach an understanding as to the purpose and proper scope of the intended  
14 examination. Additionally Plaintiffs' counsel will conduct some written discovery in advance of  
15 noticing depositions to identify appropriate witnesses and better define the issues.

16 Counsel in Smith will receive notice of any class-certification depositions in Jackson and  
17 may, but are not required to, attend. Counsel for Plaintiffs in Jackson also represent Plaintiff in  
18 Nawabi and therefore will have received notice of any depositions. Because class-certification  
19 witnesses will have generally prepared to testify only as to the limited issues contemplated by the  
20 deposition notice, any examination by Smith counsel will be permitted only with the consent of  
21 the witness and all counsel attending the deposition.

22 **II.**

23 **WRITTEN DISCOVERY, REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS, AND**  
24 **ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION (ESI)**

25 To avoid duplicative discovery requests, Plaintiffs' counsel in Jackson, Nawabi, and Smith  
26 will review and approve among themselves all discovery requests propounded to the Defendants  
27 in any of these cases. Plaintiffs' counsel anticipate that some areas of disagreement might occur,  
28 but a good-faith effort will be made to avoid duplicative requests.

1 The parties are continuing to work on a stipulation governing requests for electronically  
2 stored information (ESI).

3 **III.**

4 **MAINTENANCE OF DISCOVERY FOR USE IN RELATED CASES**

5 Defendants will maintain a database with all discovery requests, responses, and production  
6 served in these cases and will be prepared to disclose all discovery then existing, except any  
7 private information relating specifically to individual plaintiffs, e.g., medical records and CDCR  
8 central files, to any parties bringing future cases involving the core issues presented in these  
9 cases.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: January 5, 2015

12   
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE