

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARTHUR DUANE JACKSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01055-LJO-SAB

COREY LAMAR SMITH, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00060-LJO-SAB

FREDERICK BEAGLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00430- LJO-SAB

ORDER FOR COUNSEL TO MEET AND
CONFER RE RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE

On May 28, 2014, the Court conducted an informal telephonic conference to address a

1 discovery dispute between the parties in Smith v. Schwarzenegger, 1:14-cv-00060-SAB-LJO and
2 Beagle v. Schwarzenegger, 1:14-cv-00430-SAB-LJO. Counsel for Jackson v. State of California,
3 1:13-cv-010550-LJO-SAB also appeared telephonically at the conference. As discussed during
4 the hearing, the parties to all three actions shall confer by **June 23, 2014** for the purpose of
5 developing a plan as to how discovery will be conducted in these actions. The parties shall file a
6 joint discovery plan and, if necessary, each side may file a three page brief on any disputed issues
7 by **July 8, 2014**. A telephonic hearing to address the discovery plan shall be held on **July 11,**
8 **2014 at 10:00 a.m.** The parties are to call the toll-free teleconference number of (877) 336-1280
9 to appear at the hearing. The parties may contact Courtroom Deputy Mamie Hernandez to obtain
10 the teleconference code for the call if needed.

11 The parties are advised that this order does not change the current status of discovery and
12 at this time discovery is not open in these actions. The plan to be addressed by the parties is not
13 to set specific dates at this time, but to develop a coordinated approach as to how the discovery in
14 these actions will proceed in the future. The plan should adequately recognize the interests of the
15 parties, a reduction in the costs of litigation, and the most efficient means by which discovery in
16 these cases will be approached as currently situated. Further, the plan should consider how
17 discovery will be approached when, and if, future parties are added not only in these cases but in
18 subsequently filed cases involving the core issues presented in these cases.

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: May 29, 2014

22 
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE