2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 IVAN LEE MATTHEWS, Case No.: 1:14-cv-00083-BAM PC 12 ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S Plaintiff, STATEMENT REGARDING AMENDED 13 v. **COMPLAINT** 14 R. LILES, et al., (ECF No. 13) 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff Ivan Lee Matthews ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma 17 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 1, 2014, the Court 18 screened Plaintiff's second amended complaint and dismissed it with leave to amend within thirty (30) 19 20 days. (ECF No. 12.) 21 On December 22, 2014, Plaintiff filed a document entitled "Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Granted Leave to Amend for Screening Order." The document appears to be a statement 22 23 regarding Plaintiff's efforts to state a cognizable claim in his amended complaint. (ECF No. 13.) 24 Plaintiff also filed a third amended complaint. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff's statement regarding his third amended complaint is unnecessary and shall be 25

1

26

27

28

for relief.

whether it complies with relevant pleading and legal standards and whether it states a cognizable claim

disregarded. The Court will screen Plaintiff's third amended complaint in due course to determine

Accordingly, Plaintiff's statement regarding his third amended complaint, entitled "Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Granted Leave to Amend for Screening Order" (ECF No. 13) and filed on December 22, 2014, is HEREBY DISREGARDED. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **January 5, 2015**