1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	JASON S. HARPER,	CASE No. 1:14-cv-00115-AWI-MJS
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING DENIAL OF
13	V.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND	(ECF No. 16)
15	REHABILITATION, et al.,	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN THIRTY (30)
16	Defendants.	DAYS
17 18	Plaintiff Jacon S. Harner is a st	ate prisoper proceeding pro se and in forma
10 19	Plaintiff Jason S. Harper is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1.) On June	
20	23, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting preliminary injunctive relief. (ECF No. 16.)	
20 21	Specifically, he sought an order directing unspecified officials at California Substance	
21	Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison, Corcoran (CSATF) to find and issue	
22	Plaintiff's legal materials. (<u>Id.</u>)	
24	This action is proceeding on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force claim	
25	against Defendants Huffman and Curry and First Amendment retaliation claim against	
26	Defendant Rivero. (ECF No. 9.) The Court's jurisdiction in this action is limited to those	
27	legal claims and to the current parties to this action. Summers v. Earth Island Institute,	
28	555 U.S. 488, 493 (2009); <u>Mayfield v. United States</u> , 599 F.3d 964, 969 (9th Cir. 2010). 1	

Plaintiff may not, via this action, seek orders directed at remedying his current,
unrelated¹ conditions of confinement. <u>Summers</u>, 555 U.S. at 493; <u>Mayfield</u>, 599 F.3d at
969. Accordingly, the Court HEREBY RECOMMENDS that Plaintiff's motion be denied,
with prejudice.

These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § Within thirty (30) days after being served with these Findings and 636(b)(1). Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: <u>July 3, 2014</u>

Ist Michael J. Seng

UNITED STATES MÄGISTRATE JUDGE

27	¹ The Court is aware that Plaintiff may need his legal materials to litigate this case. However, there are no
28	current deadlines in this case that require action on his part at this time. Regardless, the pendency of this action does not give the Court jurisdiction over prison officials in general or over Plaintiff's property.
-0	action does not give the Court junsuiction over phoon officials in general of over Flaintin's property.