1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	DAVID TOROSIAN,	Case No. 1:14-cv-00128-LJO-SKO
13	Plaintiff, v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE
14		OBJECTIONS DUE: 28 DAYS
15 16	CITY OF FRESNO, FRESNO POLICE DEPARTMENT, and	OBJECTIONS DUE: 28 DATS
17	Does 1-20, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19	/	
20	I. INTRODUCTION	
20	On January 29, 2014, Plaintiff David Torosian ("Plaintiff") filed a complaint under the	
22	Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against the City of Fresno, Fresno Police Department, and	
23	Does 1-20 (collectively, "Defendants") for violation of his Second Amendment rights. Plaintiff	
24	also filed a motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> , which was granted.	
25	On May 8, 2014, the undersigned dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim	
26	upon which relief may be granted and ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty	
27	(30) days. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). To date, Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded	
28	to the Court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon	
-	to the court's order. This is result, there is no pr	sound on the when sets form any chamb upon

1 which relief may be granted.

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the undersigned HEREBY
RECOMMENDS that this action be dismissed, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state
any claims upon which relief may be granted.

5 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District 6 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 7 twenty-eight (28) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff 8 may file written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to 9 Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file 10 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. 11 *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12

14

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21

22

23

24

25

26

Dated: July 8, 2014

- 27 28

2