

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARCHIE CRANFORD,

Plaintiff,

v.

KIM WYATT,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00136 DLB PC

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
FOR DISCOVERY

[ECF No. 11]

Plaintiff Archie Cranford (“Plaintiff”), a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on January 31, 2014.¹

On February 23, 2015, the Court screened the complaint and dismissed it with leave to file a First Amended Complaint. On March 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint. On January 26, 2016, the Court screened the First Amended Complaint and dismissed it with leave to file a Second Amended Complaint. On February 22, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint. The Second Amended Complaint is currently pending screening by the Court.

On May 23, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery time table. Plaintiff is advised that the Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In this case, the Court has not yet screened the Second Amended Complaint, and service on Defendant has not yet

¹ Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge on February 7, 2014.

1 been authorized. Once the Second Amended Complaint is screened, the Court authorizes service on
2 Defendants, and Defendants answer the complaint, a discovery and scheduling order will issue
3 opening discovery for all parties.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for discovery time table is
5 DISMISSED.

6
7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: May 24, 2016

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE