2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ARCHIE CRANFORD, Case No. 1:14-cv-00136 DLB PC 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY TIMETABLE 13 v. [ECF No. 21] 14 KIM WYATT, 15 Defendant. 16 Plaintiff Archie Cranford ("Plaintiff"), a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma 17 pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on January 31, 2014. 18 On February 23, 2015, the Court screened the complaint and dismissed it with leave to 19 amend for failure to state a claim for relief. Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on March 4, 20 2015. On January 26, 2016, the Court screened the First Amended Complaint. It was also dismissed 21 with leave to amend for failure to state a claim. On February 22, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Second 22 Amended Complaint, which is currently pending screening by the Court. 23 On May 23, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery time table. Plaintiff was advised as 24 follows: 25 26 the Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 27 In this case, the Court has not yet screened the Second Amended Complaint, and service on Defendant has not yet been authorized. Once the Second 28 ¹ Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge on February 7, 2014.

1

Amended Complaint is screened, the Court authorizes service on Defendants, and Defendants answer the complaint, a discovery and scheduling order will issue opening discovery for all parties. [ECF No. 20.] Plaintiff's motion for discovery was dismissed on May 25, 2016. On July 5, 2016, Plaintiff renewed his motion for discovery time table. For the same reasons stated in the Court's May 25, 2016, order, Plaintiff's motion for discovery time table is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1s/ Dennis L. Beck Dated: **July 6, 2016** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE