1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	
14	DOMINIC HANNA, Case No. 1:14-cv-00142-LJO-SKO
15	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
16	v. PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR AUTHORITY TO INCUR COSTS
17	
18	COUNTY OF FRESNO, et al.,
19	Defendants.
20	
21	
22	
23	Plaintiff proceeds in this case against Defendants under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 represented by
24	appointed counsel. The Court has received a second request from Plaintiff's counsel seeking
25	authority to incur \$15,000 in expert-witness fees so that the expert may review the record, consult
26	with counsel, and prepare for deposition and trial testimony. (Doc. 107.)
27	This is Plaintiff's second request to incur expert witness fees. The first request for \$19,950
28	(Doc. 106) was denied without prejudice to a renewed request less than half the amount requested

that set forth a basis for the hourly rate and fees requested. (Doc. 104.) Plaintiff's second request for \$15,000 seeks authority to incur expert-witness fees for a second expert witness. For the reasons discussed in the Court's order on Plaintiff's first request for expert fees, this second request is also denied. In considering an amended request for expert fees, the Court will approve fees for only **one** expert witness, and Plaintiff shall set forth the basis for the fees requested. Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's second request for authority to incur expert-witness fees for \$15,000 is DENIED without prejudice as discussed above. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **March 8, 2016** /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE