1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RUBEN HERRERA,	1:14-cv-00164-LJO-GSA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	v.	
14	PAM AHLIN, et al.,	(Document# 10)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On May 14, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff	
18	does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113	
19	F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent Plaintiff	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern	
21	<u>District of Iowa</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain	
22	exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to	
23	section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.	
24	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek	
25	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether	
26	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of	
27	the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the	

complexity of the legal issues involved." $\underline{\text{Id.}}$ (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

28

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. At this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot determine whether Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. On May 20, 2015, the court granted Plaintiff leave to file a second amended complaint within thirty days. To date, Plaintiff has not filed the second amended complaint. Thus, there is no complaint on record in this case for which the court has found cognizable claims. It is too early for service of process, and no other parties have yet appeared. Moreover, the Court does not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims or respond to the court's orders. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion shall be denied, without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 21, 2015 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE