1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
<u>6</u>	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	RAYMOND BALDHOSKY,	CASE NO. 1:14-cv-00166-LJO-MJS (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO
11	v.	FILE A RESPONSE
12	VIDAL SANCHEZ, et al.,	TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
16	brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on February 7, 2014.	
17	(ECF No. 1.) The case proceeds on Plaintiff's first amended complaint, filed April 29,	
18	2014. (ECF No. 7.) The action was dismissed on statute of limitations grounds on April	
19	22, 2015. (ECF Nos. 27 & 35.) However, on August 4, 2016, the Ninth Circuit reversed	
20	the dismissal and remanded for further proceedings. (ECF No. 44.)	
21	In light of the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Defendants shall file a	
22	responsive pleading or motion within twenty-one (21) days of the date of service of this	
23	order.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>August 9, 2016</u>	ls Michael J. Seng
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		

Dockets.Justia.com