1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DIS'	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	JAN RICHMOND,	) Case No.: 1:14-cv-00184 JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF THE COURT
13	v.	<ul><li>) TO CLOSE THIS ACTION PURSUANT TO THE</li><li>) STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL</li></ul>
14	MISSION BANK, et al.,	) ) (Doc. 57)
15	Defendants.	)
16		
17	On July 16, 2015, the parties filed a sti	stipulation seeking to dismiss the action. (Doc. 57) The
18	stipulation relies upon Rule 41 of the Federal	l Rules of Civil Procedure, under which "the plaintiff may
19	dismiss an action without a court order by filing	ling: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party
20	serves either an answer or a motion for summa	mary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by
21	all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Once such a notice has been filed, an	
22	order of the Court is not required to effectuate	te the dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii); Wilson v.
23	City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1	. 1997).
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this action in light of the notice of dismissal with prejudice filed and properly signed pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **July 17, 2015**