

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TYANA MARIE SEDANO, by and through)
her guardian ad litem, MARIA)
HERNANDEZ, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Defendant.)

1: 14-CV-00192 - LJO - JLT
SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
Pleading Amendment Deadline: 8/25/2014
Discovery Deadlines:
Initial Disclosures: 6/16/2014
Non-Expert: 1/23/2015
Expert: 6/12/2015
Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
2/25/2015 at 8:30 a.m.
Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
Filing: 6/30/2015
Hearing: 7/28/2015
Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
Filing: 6/30/2015
Hearing: 8/11/2015
Settlement Conference:
6/11/2015 at 1:30 p.m.
510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA
Pre-Trial Conference:
10/6/2015 at 8:30 a.m.
Courtroom 4
Trial: 12/1/2015 at 8:30 a.m.
Courtroom 4
Court trial: 15 days

1 **I. Date of Scheduling Conference**

2 May 28, 2014.

3 **II. Appearances of Counsel**

4 Eduardo Ascencio appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs.

5 Benjamin Hall appeared on behalf of Defendant.

6 **III. Magistrate Judge Consent:**

7 **Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing**

8 Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the newly adopted policy of the Fresno Division of
9 the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set
10 before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older
11 civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset to a
12 continued date.

13 The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that
14 of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize
15 criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge
16 may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of
17 Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States
18 Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

19 The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United
20 States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the
21 Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance
22 notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern
23 District of California.

24 Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to
25 conduct all further proceedings, including trial. **Within 10 days** of the date of this order, counsel
26 **SHALL** file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating
27 whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

28 ///

1 **IV. Pleading Amendment Deadline**

2 Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or
3 motion to amend, no later than **August 25, 2014**.

4 **V. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

5 The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)
6 on or before **June 16, 2014**.

7 The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before
8 **January 23, 2015**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **June 12, 2015**.

9 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **March 20,**
10 **2015**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **April 17, 2015**. The written designation of
11 retained and non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B),**
12 **and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to designate experts in
13 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered
14 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

15 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts
16 and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions
17 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may
18 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

19 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
20 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

21 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **February 25, 2015** at 8:30 a.m. before the
22 Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield,
23 California, 93301. A Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference Report, carefully prepared and executed
24 by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one full week prior to the Conference, and shall
25 be e-mailed, in Word format, to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the
26 discovery that has been completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to
27 completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via
28 CourtCall, providing a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk

1 no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

2 **VI. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

3 All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later
4 than **June 30, 2015** and heard on or before **July 28, 2015**. Non-dispositive motions are heard before
5 the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States Courthouse in
6 Bakersfield, California.

7 No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned
8 Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good
9 faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the
10 moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate
11 Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the
12 court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk,
13 Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. **Counsel must comply with**
14 **Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice**
15 **and dropped from calendar.**

16 In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening
17 time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the
18 notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

19 Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via CourtCall, providing a written
20 request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days
21 before the noticed hearing date.

22 All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **June 30, 2015**, and heard no later
23 than **August 11, 2015**, in Courtroom 4 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United
24 States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, **counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56**
25 **and Local Rules 230 and 260.**

26 **VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication**

27 Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication the parties
28 are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the

1 motion at least **21 days before** the filing of the motion.

2 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a
3 question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole
4 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the
5 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the
6 expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

7 The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the joint statement of
8 undisputed facts. **In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file a**
9 **joint statement of undisputed facts.**

10 In the notice of motion the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as
11 ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

12 **VIII. Pre-Trial Conference Date**

13 **October 6, 2015**, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before Judge O'Neill.

14 The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).**
15 The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format,
16 directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers, by email at LJOorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

17 Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the
18 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.
19 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the
20 Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the
21 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

22 **IX. Trial Date**

23 **December 1, 2015**, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill,
24 United States District Court Judge.

25 A. This is a Court trial.

26 B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 15 days.

27 C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of
28 California, Rule 285.

1 **X. Settlement Conference**

2 A Settlement Conference is scheduled for **June 11, 2015** at 1:30 p.m., located at 510 19th Street,
3 Bakersfield, California. The settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. **If**
4 **any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer who is not**
5 **normally assigned to this matter, that party is directed to notify the Court no later than 60 days**
6 **in advance of the scheduled settlement conference** to allow sufficient time for another judicial officer
7 to be assigned to handle the conference.

8 Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, **the attorneys who will try the case shall**
9 **appear** at the Settlement Conference **with the parties** and the person or persons having **full authority**
10 to negotiate and settle the case **on any terms**¹ at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a
11 serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the
12 procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

13 **At least 21 days before** the settlement conference, Plaintiff **SHALL** submit to Defendant via
14 fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful² settlement demand which includes a
15 brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, **no later than 14 before** the
16 settlement conference, Defendant **SHALL** respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or
17 with a meaningful counteroffer and which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is
18 appropriate.

19 If settlement is not achieved, each party **SHALL** attach copies of the party's settlement offer to
20 their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents
21 shall not be filed on the court docket.

22 ///

23 _____
24 ¹ Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements
25 are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a
26 person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the
27 process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the
28 authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent
demand.

² "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering
party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If,
however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should
trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the
settlement conference via stipulation.

1 California.

2 **XIV. Effect of this Order**

3 The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most
4 suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the
5 parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered
6 to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by
7 subsequent status conference.

8 **The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a**
9 **showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations**
10 **extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by**
11 **affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause**
12 **for granting the relief requested.**

13 Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: May 28, 2014

17 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE