

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JEANETTE SEPEDA,	)	Case No.: 1:14-cv-00228 - JLT
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN
	)	AMENDED MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
v.	)	PAUPERIS
	)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,	)	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

Jeanette Sepeda (“Plaintiff”) seeks judicial review of an administrative decision denying her claim for Social Security benefits. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* with her complaint on February 20, 2014. (Doc. 2.)

The Court may authorize the commencement of an action without prepayment of fees “but a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such person . . . possesses [and] that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The Court has reviewed the application and the information provided is insufficient to determine whether Plaintiff satisfies the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

According to her application, Plaintiff has not been employed since 1976, and has no cash or savings. (Doc. 2 at 1-2.) Plaintiff asserts her only income includes \$245 per month for General Assistance and \$198 in food stamps. *Id.* at 1. Further, Plaintiff notes she does not have any real estate, stocks, bonds, securities, other financial instruments, an automobile, or other things of value.

1 *Id.* Given this economic condition, it does not appear that Plaintiff is able to support herself on these  
2 funds alone. Consequently, the Court must determine whether Plaintiff is dependent upon another  
3 individual who would be able to pay the filing fee.

4 Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to file, within fourteen days of this order, an application  
5 that includes information on how Plaintiff is supporting herself, or whether she is dependent upon  
6 another. Upon receipt of this information, the Court will resume consideration of Plaintiff's motion to  
7 proceed *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order may result in  
8 denial of her application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: February 25, 2014

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28