1	KAMALA D. HARRIS, State Bar No. 146672		
2	Attorney General of California CONSTANCE L. LELOUIS, State Bar No. 148821		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General AARON JONES, State Bar No. 248246		
4	Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5868		
6	Fax: (415) 703-1234 E-mail: Aaron.Jones@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Defendants		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11			
12		1:14-cv-00236	
13	SILVIA LOPEZ, Plaintiff,	1:14-67-00230)-LJO-GSA
14	*	HIDOMENIT	
15	v.	JUDGMENT	
16	GENEVIEVE SHIROMA, an individual;	Courtroom:	Four The Hon. Lawrence J. O'Neill
17	CATHRYN RIVERA-HERNANDEZ, an individual; J. ANTONIO BARBOSA, an individual, SH. AS SHAWWED, an	Judge: Trial Date:	None Set
18	individual; SILAS SHAWVER, an individual; and DOES 1 - 20,	Action Filed.	February 21, 2014
19	Defendants.		
20		I	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		[Dronoss	d] Judgment (1:14-cv-00236-LJO-GSA)
		[F10pose	aj saugment (1.14-ev-00230-LJO-03A)

On September 14, 2016, the U.S. Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit entered its judgment via Memorandum disposition on the appeal of defendants Genevieve Shiroma, Cathryn Rivera-Hernandez, J. Antonio Barbosa, and Silas Shawver ("Defendants") granting qualified official immunity to Defendants and denying the request for leave to amend of plaintiff Silvia Lopez ("Plaintiff") (together with Defendants, the "Parties"). (Dkt. 46.) The Mandate issued on October 7, 2016. (Dkt. 48.) The Complaint's Second Cause of Action is dismissed by stipulation of the parties filed concurrently herewith and the Court's order thereon. Therefore, and pursuant to the stipulation of the Parties, FINAL JUDGMENT is hereby entered in favor of all Defendants and against Plaintiff on the First and Third Causes of Action set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint in their entirety and those causes of action are dismissed with prejudice. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), the Court hereby finds that there is no just reason to delay of entry of final judgment on the Complaint's First and Third Causes of Action, which, with dismissal of the Second Cause of Action, fully resolve this case. The CLERK SHALL CLOSE THE FILE. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **October 24, 2016** /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill