Ш

1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
9								
10	CHRISTINE DONALDSON,)	Case N	Io.: 1:14-cv-00257 AWI JLT				
11	Plaintiff,))	SCHE	DULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)				
12	v.))	Pleadii	ng Amendment Deadline: 11/24/2014				
13	KERN COUNTY, OFFICER BRENDA GRAVES, OFFICER PHILLIP ROMERO,))		very Deadlines:				
14	OFFICER MISTY ISAAC, OFFICER FRANCES PERKINSA and DOES 1-10,)	Discov	Initial Disclosures: 9/11/2014				
15	inclusive,))		Non-Expert: 5/29/2015 Expert: 8/7/2015				
16	Defendants.)		Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 1/26/2015 at 8:30 a.m.				
17)						
18			Non-D	vispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 8/17/2015				
19				Hearing: 9/14/2015				
20	Dispositive Motion Deadlines:							
21				Filing: 9/28/2015 Hearing: 11/9/2015				
22			Settlen	nent Conference:				
23			Settien	1/14/2016 at 1:30 p.m.				
24				510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA				
25			Pre-Tr	ial Conference: 2/2/2016 at 10:00 a.m.				
26				Courtroom 2				
27			Trial:	4/5/2016 at 8:30 a.m.				
28				Courtroom 2 Jury trial: 10-15 days				
				,				
			1					

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>

August 28, 2014.

II. <u>Appearances of Counsel</u>

Wilmer Harris and Isabel Daniels appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Andrew Thomson appeared on behalf of Defendants County of Kern, Brenda Graves, Misty Isaac, and Frances Perkins.

Max Koenig specially appeared for Oliver Robinson who represents Defendant Phillip Romero.

III. <u>Information Concerning the Court's Schedule</u>

9 Out of fairness, the Court believes it is necessary to forewarn litigants that the Fresno Division 10 of the Eastern District of California now has the heaviest District Court Judge caseload in the entire nation. While the Court will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely 11 manner, the parties are admonished that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as 12 expeditiously as desired. As multiple trials are now being set to begin upon the same date, parties may 13 14 find their case trailing with little notice before the trial begins. The law requires that the Court give any criminal trial priority over civil trials or any other matter. The Court must proceed with a criminal trial 15 16 even if a civil trial was filed earlier and set for trial first. Continuances of any civil trial under these circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good cause. All 17 parties should be informed that any civil trial set to begin during the time a criminal trial is proceeding 18 19 will trail the completion of the criminal trial.

20 The parties are reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all 21 proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including 22 entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local 23 Rule 305. The same jury pool is used by both United States Magistrate Judges and United States 24 District Court Judges. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is 25 taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. However, the parties are hereby informed that no substantive rulings or decisions will be affected by whether a party chooses to 26 27 consent.

28

Finally, the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing

United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the Local Rules, Appendix A, reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance 2 notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern 3 4 District of California.

Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial. Within 10 days of the date of this order, counsel **SHALL** file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

5

6

7

1

IV. **Pleading Amendment Deadline**

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than November 24, 2014.

V. **Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before September 11, 2014.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before May

16 29, 2015, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before August 7, 2015.

The request of the County Defendants to expand the number of interrogatories to 35 is **GRANTED**. All parties may propound 35 interrogatories to the opponent. However, the Court strongly urges all counsel to use these interrogatories wisely because it does not anticipate further extending the number beyond this.

21 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses¹, in writing, on or before **June 12, 2015**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before July 10, 2015. The written designation of retained and 22 23 non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and 24 shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with 25 this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such 26 experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

27

28

¹ In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health evaluation, this examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

7 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for January 26, 2015 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, 8 California. A Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference Report, carefully prepared and executed by all 9 10 counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one full week prior to the Conference, and shall be emailed, in Word format, to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery that has been completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to 12 completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via 13 CourtCall, providing a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk 14 no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date. 15

16

17

18

19

20

VI.

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

Pre-Trial Motion Schedule

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than August 17, 2015, and heard on or before September 14, 2015. Non-dispositive motions are heard at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California.

21 No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good 22 23 faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the 24 moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate 25 Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, 26 27 Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with 28

4

Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar.

2

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via CourtCall, providing a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than September 28, 2015, and heard no later than November 9, 2015, in Courtroom 2 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

12

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

14 At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or a motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues 15 16 to be raised in the motion.

17 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole 18 19 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the 20 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the 21 expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

22 The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the joint statement of 23 undisputed facts. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file a 24 joint statement of undisputed facts. The joint statement of undisputed facts SHALL include all facts 25 that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. Thus, the moving party SHALL provide opposing counsel a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before 26 27 the conference.

28

In the notice of motion the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as

ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

2 VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before Judge Ishii.

The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2)**. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Ishii's chambers, by email at AWIOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to <u>Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules</u> of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

IX. <u>Trial Date</u>

April 5, 2016, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United
States District Court Judge.

A. This is a jury trial.

B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 10-15 days.

17 C. Counsel's attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of
18 California, Rule 285.

 19
 X.
 Settlement Conference

20A Settlement Conference is scheduled for January 14, 2016 at 1:30 p.m., located at 510 19th21Street, Bakersfield, California. The settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge

22 Thurston. If any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer

23 who is not assigned to this matter, that party is directed to notify the Court no later than 60 days

24 **in advance of the scheduled settlement conference** to allow sufficient time for another judicial officer

25 || to be assigned to handle the conference.

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, <u>the attorneys who will try the case shall</u>
 appear at the Settlement Conference <u>with the parties</u> and the person or persons having <u>full authority</u>

28

to negotiate and settle the case <u>on any terms</u>² at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful³ settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, **no later than 14 days before** the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer that includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to their
Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall
not be filed on the court docket.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

14At least five court days prior to the Settlement Conference, the parties shall submit, directly to15Judge Thurston's chambers by e-mail to JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement16Conference Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on17any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference18Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the19Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

B.

- 23
- 24

20

21

22

25

26

subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

² Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are

A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which

³ "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

1			the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on			
2			the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.			
3		C.	A summary of the proceedings to date.			
4		D.	An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.			
5		E.	The relief sought.			
6		F.	The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of			
7			past settlement discussions, offers and demands.			
8	XI.	<u>Req</u>	uests for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other			
9	Techniques to Shorten Trial					
10		Not	applicable at this time.			
11	XII.	<u>Rela</u>	ted Matters Pending			
12		Ther	re are no pending related matters.			
13	XIII.	<u>Con</u>	pliance with Federal Procedure			
14		All c	counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure			
15	and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any					
16	amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently					
17	handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as					
18	provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern					
19	District of California.					
20	XIV.	Effe	ct of this Order			
21		The	foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most			
22	suitab	le to d	lispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the			
23	parties	s detei	mine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered			
24	to noti	fy the	e court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by			

25 subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a
showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations
extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by

 affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good ca for granting the relief requested. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>August 28, 2014</u> /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 	use
 Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>August 28, 2014</u> /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston 	
 4 5 IT IS SO ORDERED. 6 Dated: <u>August 28, 2014</u> /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston 	
 5 IT IS SO ORDERED. 6 Dated: <u>August 28, 2014</u> /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston 	
6 Dated: August 28, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
9	