1		
1 2		
2 3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	MARIO LEON,	Case No. 1:14-cv-00301-AWI-SKO-HC
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DISMISSING AS MOOT
13	v.	PETITIONER'S MOTION REGARDING THE DATE OF CONSTRUCTIVE FILING OF THE
14		PETITION (DOC. 2)
15		
16	FRED FOULK, Warden,	
17	Respondent.	
18	Petitioner is a state prise	oner proceeding pro se and in forma
19	pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 2254. The matter has been referred to the Magistrate Judge	
21	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rules 302 and 303.	
22	Pending before the Court is Petitioner's request that the Court	
23	determine that March 15, 2013, was the date on which the petition	
24	was constructively filed pursuant to the "mailbox rule."	
25	Consideration of the motion was previously deferred pending	
26	receipt of Respondent's response to the petition. The docket	
27	reflects that Respondent filed an answer to the petition on July 31,	
28	2014, in which Respondent 1) sta	ated that it did not appear that the

1

1	petition was untimely filed, and 2) responded to Petitioner's claims	
2	on the merits. (Doc. 15.) Thus, no issue regarding the timeliness	
3	of the petition is pending before the Court.	
4	Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Petitioner's motion regarding	
5	the date of constructive filing of the petition is DISMISSED as	
6	moot.	
7		
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: September 2, 2014 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	