## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 EARL WALKER, Case No. 1:14-cv-00332-SAB-HC 12 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO REDUCE Petitioner. **SENTENCING** 13 v. 14 EASTERN DISTRICT COURT, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus 18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge 19 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). On March 26, 2014, the Court dismissed the petition because Petitioner had previously 20 21 sought habeas relief with respect to this conviction in a previous petition. See Walker v. Roe, 22 Case No. 1:01-CV-06289-LJO-HC. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(1), the instant petition is considered successive. Therefore, on March 26, 2014, judgment was entered in this case, and the 23 24 case was terminated. 25 On March 28, 2014, Petitioner filed the instant motion to reduce his sentence. He is informed that the case is closed. The Court is without jurisdiction to consider his challenges to 26 27 his sentence and his conviction by plea bargain, since Petitioner has already sought and been denied habeas relief on a previous occasion. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(1). 28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's motion to reduce his sentence is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **April 1, 2014** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE