

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 DALE D. SELVICK,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 PAUL D. BRAZELTON, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

1:14-cv-00363-GSA-PC

ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME
FOR DEFENDANTS WINSLOW AND
IGBINOSA TO FILE RESPONSE TO
COMPLAINT
(Doc. 11.)

DEADLINE: JULY 3, 2014

17 Dale D. Selvick ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding with counsel in this civil
18 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this
19 action on March 11, 2014. (Doc. 1.)

20 On June 9, 2014, Plaintiff and defendants Winslow and Igbinsosa filed a stipulation
21 under Local Rule 144(a) extending time until July 3, 2014 for defendants Winslow and
22 Igbinsosa to respond to the Complaint. (Doc. 11.) The parties also submitted a proposed order
23 for the court.

24 In this instance, a court order is not needed to extend time. Local Rule 144(a) provides
25 that "[u]nless the filing date has been set by order of the Court, an initial stipulation extending
26 time for no more than twenty-eight (28) days to respond to a complaint . . . may be filed
27 without approval of the Court if the stipulation is signed on behalf of all parties who have
28 appeared in the action and are affected by the stipulation. All other extensions of time must be

1 approved by the Court.” L.R. 144(a). The parties’ stipulation satisfies all of the requirements
2 of Local Rule 144(a) to extend time without court approval. Thus, the time for defendants
3 Winslow and Igbiosa to respond to the Complaint was extended to July 3, 2014, by the
4 parties’ stipulation of June 9, 2014.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: June 11, 2014

/s/ Gary S. Austin
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE