ĺ	II	,
1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6	T.A., a minor by and through his guardian ad litem, MARIA GUERRERO	CASE No. 1:14-cv-00717-LJO-JLT
7	Plaintiff,	
8	v.	ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE FOR ALL PRETRIAL PURPOSES
9	COUNTY OF KERN, and DOES 1 to 100,	
10	Inclusive,	
11	Defendants.	
12	FRANCISCO ARRIETA,	LEAD CASE 1:14-cv-00400-LJO-JLT
13	Plaintiff,	MEMBER CASE: 1:14-cv-00401-LJO-JLT MEMBER CASE: 1:14-cv-00402-LJO-JLT
14	v.	MEMBER CASE: 1:14-cv-00403-LJO-JLT MEMBER CASE: 1:14-cv-00404-LJO-JLT
15	COUNTY OF KERN, and DOES 1 to 100, Inclusive,	
16	Defendants.	ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE FOR ALL PRETRIAL PURPOSES
17	Defendants.	TRETRIAL TORI OSES
18		
19	Plaintiff T.A., by and through his guardian ad litem, claims to have witnessed a violent encounter	
	on or about May 7, 2013 between unnamed Kern County Sheriff's Department Deputies and an	
20	unrelated man. These events were recorded by his grandmother on her cellular phone. Plaintiff claims to	
21	have been subjected to unlawful treatment when Deputies attempted to retrieve the phone the next	
22	morning at Plaintiff's mother's apartment. These events appear to be identical to events central to the	
23	claims of five other plaintiffs, who filed similar cases against the County of Kern on March 21, 2014. In	
24	fact, plaintiffs in those cases appear to include Plaintiff T.A.'s mother, grandmother, and maternal aunt.	
25	Those cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes on April 28, 2014. No party objected to the	
26	Those cases were consolidated for pretrial purp	oses on April 26, 2014. No party objected to the
		1

consolidation. 1 2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) provides: If actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may: 3 4 (1) join for hearing or trial any or all matters at issue in the actions; 5 (2) consolidate the actions; or 6 (3) issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay. 7 The purpose of consolidation is to achieve judicial convenience and economy. See Johnson v. Manhattan Ry. Co., 289 U.S. 479, 496-97 (1933). However, consolidation is not meant to "merge the 8 9 suits into a single cause, [] change the rights of the parties, or make those who are parties in one suit 10 parties in another." Id.; see also J.G. Link & Co. v. Continental Cas. Co., 470 F.2d 1133, 1138 (9th Cir. 11 1972) ("the law is clear that an act of consolidation does not affect any of the substantive rights of the 12 parties"). A district court has broad discretion to determine whether and to what extent consolidation is 13 appropriate. See In re Consol. Parlodel Litig., 182 F.R.D. 441, 444 (D.N.J. 1998). In deciding whether 14 to consolidate, a court should balance the interest of judicial convenience against "any inconvenience, 15 delay, or expense that it would cause." Huene v. United States, 743 F.2d 703, 704 (9th Cir. 1984); see 16 also Bank of Montreal v. Eagle Assoc., 117 F.R.D. 530, 532 (S.D.N.Y. 1987) (court must weigh benefits 17 of consolidation against "the potential for delay, confusion, or prejudice"). 18 The Court finds that consolidation for pretrial purposes will aid both judicial and party efficiency 19 and that consolidation will not cause delay, confusion, or prejudice. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is 20 directed to consolidate this case with Arrieta v. County of Kern, 1:14-cv-00400-LJO-JLT. Until further notice, the parties and the Clerk of Court are to file all documents under only the lead case number. 21 22 Future captions should indicate the lead case number followed by the remaining "member case" 23 numbers" as follows: 24 **Lead Case:** 1:14-cv-00400-LJO-JLT **Member Cases:** 1:14-cv-00401-LJO-JLT 25 1:14-cv-00402-LJO-JLT 1:14-cv-00403-LJO-JLT 26 1:14-cv-00404-LJO-JLT

1	1:14-cv-00717-LJO-JLT	
2	Within ten (10) days after the dispositive motions deadline has passed and/or all dispositive motions	
3	have been ruled upon, whichever is later, the parties shall file a joint status report addressing whether	
4	consolidation should be extended to include trial.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: May 20, 2014 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	2	