1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 EUGENIO LUIS NOGUERAS, Case No. 1:14-cv-00470-LJO-SKO (PC) 10 Plaintiff, SECOND INFORMATIONAL ORDER -NOTICE AND WARNING OF 11 REQUIREMENTS FOR OPPOSING v. **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS** 12 OJUKWU, (Doc. 49) 13 Defendant. 21-DAY DEADLINE 14 15 16 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action. Pursuant to Woods v. Carey, Nos. 09-15548, 09-16113, 2012 WL 2626912 (9th Cir. Jul. 6, 2012), Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108 17 (9th Cir. 2003), Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998), and Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 18 19 F.2d 409 (9th Cir. 1988), the Court hereby notifies Plaintiff of the following rights and 20 requirements for opposing the motion: 1. Unless otherwise ordered, all motions to dismiss shall be briefed in accordance with 21 Local Rule 230(l). 22 2. Plaintiff is required to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to 23 Defendants' motion to dismiss. Local Rule 230(l). If Plaintiff fails to file an opposition or a 24 statement of non-opposition to the motion, this action may be dismissed, with prejudice, for 25 failure to prosecute. Local Rule 230(1) requires the opposition, or statement of non-opposition be 26 27 filed not more than 21 days after the date of service of the motion. *Id.* However, Plaintiff is granted 21 days from the service of this order to file his opposition or statement of non-28

1 2 3 4 5	opposition. 3. Failure to comply with this order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California may result in the imposition of sanctions including but not limited to dismissal of the action or entry of default.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7 8	Dated: April 12, 2016 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	