

1 some of the requested redactions pending Defendant's submission of same for in
2 camera review. (Id.)

3 On February 27, 2017, Defendant submitted his proposed additional redactions,
4 along with an index of the proposed redactions for the Court's review. (See ECF No.
5 110.)

6 The Court finds that Defendant's additional proposed redactions seek to remove
7 references that would reflect whether non-parties were considered co-subjects of the
8 investigation by the Office of Investigative Affairs as well as information regarding these
9 individuals' rights in relation to their OIA interviews. The Court finds that the redactions
10 are both minimal and unrelated to any matter of relevance to this action. Accordingly, the
11 redactions are HEREBY APPROVED, without prejudice to Plaintiff's right to move the
12 Court to release such information upon a showing of good cause for same.

13 Defendant's obligation to provide the redacted materials to Plaintiff remains
14 stayed pending the District Judge's ruling on Defendant's objections. (See ECF No.
15 105.)

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: February 28, 2017

19 /s/ Michael J. Seng
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28