| 1 |
|----------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| <u>4</u> |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,
v.
P.D. BRAZELTON, et al.,
Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:14-cv-00503-AWI-MJS (PC)

ORDER DENYING <u>PITCHESS</u> MOTION

(ECF No. 31)

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds against Defendant Flores on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims for medical indifference and cruel and unusual punishment.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's July 10, 2015 <u>Pitchess</u> motion. (ECF No. 31.) A <u>Pitchess</u> motion relates to criminal proceedings and is misplaced in this federal civil action. <u>See People v. Mooc</u>, 26 Cal. 4th 1216, 1219-20 (2001) (a <u>Pitchess</u> motion allows a criminal defendant to compel discovery of evidence from arresting officer's personnel file). Plaintiff was required to seek discovery in compliance with the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34, which requires Plaintiff to serve Defendant with a request for the production of documents. If Defendant objected to the request and Plaintiff believed the

24

25

26

27

documents to be discoverable, Plaintiff's recourse was to file a motion to compel. However, discovery in this action closed on July 7, 2015, and Plaintiff was required to serve his discovery requests on Defendant forty five days prior to that date. (ECF No. 20.) Motions to compel also were due by July 7, 2015. Accordingly, the time for Plaintiff to seek Defendant Flores's personnel records has passed. Regardless, Plaintiff may not file a request for documents from Defendant's personnel files directly with the Court. His inappropriate Pitchess motion is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1st Michael J. Seng Dated: <u>July 17, 2015</u>

¹ The discovery deadline since has been extended to and including July 21, 2015 for the limited purpose of taking Plaintiff's deposition in Arizona.