Lawrence v. Sch	umberger Technology Corporation		Doc
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	KENNETH LAWRENCE,) Case No.: 1:14-cv-00524 JLT	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CLOSING CASE	
13	V.) (Doc. 39)	
14	SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,)	
15	Defendant.)	
16			
17	On September 29, 2015, the parties filed a stipulation seeking to dismiss the action.		
18	(Doc. 39) The stipulation relies upon Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, under which		
19	"the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the		
20	opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of		
21	dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Once such a notice		e
22	has been filed, an order of the Court is not required to effectuate the dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. P.		
23	41(a)(1)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997).		
24	Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
25	1. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this action in light of the notice of		
26	dismissal with prejudice filed	and properly signed pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).	
27	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
28			
	Dated: September 30, 2015	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	

Doc. 40