1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	JUAN CARLOS ROBLES,) 1: 14-CV-00540-AWI - JLT			
11	Plaintiff,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)			
12	v.	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 10/1/2014			
13	AGRESERVES, INC., et al,) Discovery Deadlines:			
14	Defendants.) Initial Disclosures: 8/8/2014) Non-Expert: 6/15/2015 			
15		Expert: 8/24/2015			
16		Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 1/15/2015, 9:00 a.m.			
17		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:			
18		Filing: 9/9/2015			
19		Hearing: 10/7/2015			
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 10/20/2015			
21		Hearing: 12/1/2015			
22		Pre-Trial Conference:			
23		1/16/2016 at 10:30 a.m. Courtroom 2			
24		Trial: 3/22/2016 at 8:30 a.m.			
25		Courtroom 2 Jury trial: 7-10 days			
26	I Date of Schoduling Conference	Jury unai. 7-10 days			
27	I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>				
28	August 1, 2014.				
		1			

1 2

3

4

11

II.

Appearances of Counsel

Ann Guleser on behalf of Plaintiff.

Richard Marca appeared on behalf of Defendants.

III. **Information Concerning the Court's Schedule**

5 Out of fairness, the Court believes it is necessary to forewarn litigants that the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California now has the heaviest District Court Judge caseload in the entire 6 7 nation. While the Court will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely 8 manner, the parties are admonished that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as 9 expeditiously as desired. As multiple trials are now being set to begin upon the same date, parties may 10 find their case trailing with little notice before the trial begins. The law requires that the Court give any criminal trial priority over civil trials or any other matter. The Court must proceed with a criminal trial 12 even if a civil trial was filed earlier and set for trial first. Continuances of any civil trial under these circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good cause. All 13 parties should be informed that any civil trial set to begin during the time a criminal trial is proceeding 14 will trail the completion of the criminal trial. 15

16 The parties are reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all 17 proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local 18 19 Rule 305. The same jury pool is used by both United States Magistrate Judges and United States 20 District Court Judges. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is 21 taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. However, the parties are hereby informed that no substantive rulings or decisions will be affected by whether a party chooses to 22 23 consent.

24 Finally, the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing 25 United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant 26 to the Local Rules, Appendix A, reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance 27 notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern 28 District of California.

Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial. <u>Within 10 days</u> of the date of this order, counsel **SHALL** file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

IV.

1

2

3

4

Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **October 1, 2014**.

8 || **V**.

Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **August 8, 2014**.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **June 15, 2015**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **August 24, 2015**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **June 29, 2015**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **July 27, 2015**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and</u> **shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts
and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions
included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may
include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for January 15, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. before the
Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield,
California. A Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference Report, carefully prepared and executed by all
counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one full week prior to the Conference, and shall be e-

mailed, in Word format, to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the
discovery that has been completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to
completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via
CourtCall, providing a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk
no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

6

7

8

9

10

VI. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **September 9, 2015**, and heard on or before **October 7, 2015**. Non-dispositive motions are heard at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California.

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned 11 12 Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good 13 faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the 14 moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the 15 16 court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, 17 Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. <u>Counsel must comply with</u> Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice 18 19 and dropped from calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via CourtCall, providing a written
request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days
before the noticed hearing date.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than October 20, 2015, and heard no
later than December 1, 2015, in Courtroom 2 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii,
United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, <u>counsel shall comply with Fed. R.</u>

4

8

9

10

11

Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

VII. **Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication**

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file a joint 12 statement of undisputed facts. The joint statement of undisputed facts SHALL include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. Thus, the moving party **SHALL** 13 provide opposing counsel a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before 14 the conference. 15

16 In the notice of motion the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. 17

18

19

VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

January 16, 2016, at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before Judge Ishii.

20 The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). 21 The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, 22 directly to Judge Ishii's chambers, by email at AWIOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules of Practice for the 23 24 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. 25 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the 26 27 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

28

///

1	IX.	<u>Tria</u>	<u> Date</u>
2		Mar	ch 22, 2016, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United
3	States	Distri	ct Court Judge.
4		A.	This is a jury trial.
5		B.	Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 7-10 days.
6		C.	Counsel's attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of
7	California, Rule 285.		
8	X. <u>Settlement Conference</u>		
9		If the	parties believe the action is in a settlement posture, the parties may file a joint written
10	request for a settlement conference with the Court. The settlement conference will be conducted by		
11	Magistrate Judge Thurston. If any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a		
12	judicial officer who is not assigned to this matter, that party is directed to notify the Court no		
13	later than 60 days in advance of the requested settlement conference to allow sufficient time for		
14	another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference.		
15	XI.	<u>Req</u> ı	lests for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other
16		Tech	niques to Shorten Trial
17		Not a	applicable at this time.
18	XII.	<u>Rela</u>	ted Matters Pending
19		There	e are no pending related matters.
20	XIII.	Com	pliance with Federal Procedure
21		All c	ounsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
22	and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any		
23	amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently		
24	handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as		
25	provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern		
26	District of California.		
27	XIV.	Effec	et of this Order
28		The f	Foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most

1	suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the		
2	parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered		
3	to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by		
4	subsequent status conference.		
5	The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a		
6	showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations		
7	extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by		
8	affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause		
9	for granting the relief requested.		
10	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: August 1, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	7		