1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RANDY A. SANDOVAL,	1:14-cv-00541-MJS (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE	
13	V.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE	
14	T. LIEBERG,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	Defendant.		
16 17			
17 18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant		
18 19	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to		
20	28 U.S.C. § 1915.		
20	In his Complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by Defendant. The		
22	alleged violations took place in San Joaquin County, which is part of the Sacramento		
23	Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.		
24	Therefore, the Complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.		
25	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in		
26	the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court.		
27	Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This Court will not		
28	rule on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
	Good cause appearing, IT IS HER	EBY ORDERED that:	

1	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
2	District of California sitting in Sacramento; and	
3	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and	
4	shall be filed at:	
5	United States District Court	
6	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
7	3. This Court has not ruled on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10		
11	Dated: <u>April 28, 2014</u> <u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u>	
12	UNITED STATES MÄGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	