1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
4	CARMEN PEREZ,	CASE NO. 1:14-CV-605 AWI JLT	
5 6	Plaintiff v.	ORDER CLOSING CASE IN LIGHT OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
7	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX, INC. et al.,		
8	Defendants	(Doc. No. 21)	
9			
10	On June 15, 2015, the parties filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case without prejudice		
11	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). See Doc. No. 121. The notice is signed by		
12	all parties.		
13			
14	Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads:		
15	(A) the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared (B) Unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without prejudice.		
16			
17			
18			
19	As the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under Rule		
20	41(a)(1), this case has terminated. See id.; Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir.		
21	1997); <u>In re Wolf</u> , 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989).		
22	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall CLOSE this case in light of the		
23			
24	filed and signed Rule 41(a)(1) Stipulation Of Dismissal With Prejudice.		
25	Dated: June 16, 2015 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE		
26			
27	SEN	TOR DISTRICT TODOL	