1			
2			
-3			
4			
5	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8		Case No. 1:14-cv-00610-LJO-JLT (PC)	
9	WILLIAM ROY BONNER,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND	
10	Plaintiff,	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL THAT ACTION PROCEED ON PLAINTIFF'S EXCESSIVE</b>	
11	V.	FORCE CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS SGT. SCAIFE & C.O. HOLGUIN AND THAT ALL	
12	GIPSON, et al.,	OTHER CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS BE DISMISSED	
13	Defendants.	(Docs. 1, 8, 10)	
14			
15			
16	Disintiff William Day Ponner	is a state prisoner proceeding process and in forms pounaris	
17	Plaintiff, William Roy Bonner, is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil action purposent to $42 \text{ USC}$ . The matter was referred to a United States		
18	in this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § $636(h)(1)(P)$ and Level Pule 302		
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On August 28, 2008, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations herein		
21	which was served on Plaintiff and which contained notice that any objection to the Findings and Recommendations was to be filed within twenty days. No Objection was filed. <sup>1</sup>		
22	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a		
23		g carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the	
24		upported by the record and by proper analysis.	
25		apported by the record and by proper analysis.	
26			
27	<sup>1</sup> The Findings and Recommendations was triggered by Plaintiff's decision not to file an amended complaint and to proceed only on the claims found to be cognizable by the Magistrate Judge in her order of June 11, 2014.		
28	(Docs. 8, 9.)		

1			
2	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
3	1.	The Findings and Recommendations, filed July 9, 2014, is adopted in full;	
4	2.	This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's Complaint, filed April 25, 2014, against	
5		Defendants Sgt. N. Scaife and C/O M. Holguin on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment	
6		excessive force claims;	
7	3.	Defendants Connie Gipson, M. Jennings, M. Gaboa, M.S. Robicheaux, A. Cruz,	
8		A. Nadai, and M.P. Hernandez and all other claims are dismissed for Plaintiff's	
9		failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted;	
10	4.	the Clerk's Office shall terminate Defendants Connie Gipson, M. Jennings, M.	
11		Gaboa, M.S. Robicheaux, A. Cruz, A. Nadai, and M.P. Hernandez as parties	
12		pursuant to their dismissal from this action; and	
13	5.	the action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for service and further	
14		proceedings.	
15			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	Dated:	August 20, 2014/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
18		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	