1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 FELIPE GARCIA, 7 Case No. 1:14-cv-00625-LJO-SAB-PC 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SCREENING 9 v. (ECF NO. 29) 10 JEFFREY BEARD, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 On March 16, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting the Court to screen the December 13 23, 2015, first amended complaint. Plaintiff's first amended complaint is lengthy and includes 14 multiple causes of action. Case management at this Court proceeds by the order cases are 15 received. Due to the caseload of the Court, and the Court's diligent handling of each individual 16 case, rulings and decisions often take time. Plaintiff's case will be screened in due course and 17 appropriate orders will issue. 18 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to screen the first 19 amended complaint is denied. 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Dated: **March 18, 2016** 23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 24 25

26

27

28