1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	KAREN BUTLER,	Case No. 1:14-cv-00645-BAM-HC
12	Petitioner,	ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO AMEND THE PETITION TO NAME A
13		PROPER RESPONDENT (Doc. 13)
14	ν.	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK TO CHANGE
15		THE NAME OF THE RESPONDENT
16		ORDER REQUIRING RESPONDENT TO FILE A RESPONSE TO THE PETITION
17	DEBORAH K. JOHNSON, Warden,	ORDER SETTING A BRIEFING SCHEDULE
18	Respondent.	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK TO SERVE
19		DOCUMENTS ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
20	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
21 22	pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28	
22	U.S.C. § 2254. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1), Petitioner has	
23	consented to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge	
25	to conduct all further proceedings in the case, including the entry	
26	of final judgment, by manifesting Petitioner's consent in a writing	
27	signed by Petitioner and filed on May 8, 2014. Pending before the	
28	Court is the Petitioner's motion to amend the first amended petition	
		1

(FAP) to name as Respondent Deborah K. Johnson, the warden of Petitioner's institution of confinement, which was filed on February 26, 2015, in response to the Court's earlier order permitting Petitioner to file such a motion without having to file a completely new amended petition.

6

## I. Motion to Amend the Petition

A petitioner seeking habeas relief must name the state officer 7 having custody of him or her as the respondent to the petition. 8 Rule 2(a) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases; Ortiz-Sandoval 9 v. Gomez, 81 F.3d 891, 894 (9th Cir. 1996); Stanley v. California 10 Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994). Normally, the 11 person having custody of the prisoner is the warden of the prison 12 because the warden has "day to day control over" the prisoner. 13 Brittingham v. United States, 982. F.2d 378, 279 (9th Cir. 1992). 14 Therefore, Petitioner's request is proper. 15

16

## II. Order to File a Response to the Petition

The Court has conducted a preliminary review of the petition. It is not clear from the face of the petition whether Petitioner is entitled to relief. 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases and Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,<sup>1</sup> the Court will direct Respondent to file a response and will issue a scheduling order.

23

111

24

<sup>1</sup>The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure "apply to proceedings for habeas corpus ... to the extent that the practice in those proceedings (A) is not specified in a federal statute, the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, or the Rules Governing Section 2255 Cases; and (B) has previously conformed to the practice in civil actions." Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a) (4). Rule 12 also provides "[t]he Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to the extent that they are not inconsistent with any statutory provisions or these rules, may be applied to a proceeding under these rules." 1 2 III. Disposition

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

Petitioner's motion for leave to amend the petition to name
 Warden Deborah K. Johnson as Respondent in this matter is GRANTED;
 and

6 2) The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to change the name of7 Respondent to Deborah K. Johnson, Warden; and

3) Respondent SHALL FILE a RESPONSE to the first amended
petition<sup>2</sup> within SIXTY (60) days of the date of service of this
order. See Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases; Cluchette v.
<u>Rushen</u>, 770 F.2d 1469, 1473-1474 (9th Cir. 1985) (court has
discretion to fix time for filing a response). A response can be
made by filing one of the following:

An ANSWER addressing the merits of the petition. Α. 14 Respondent SHALL INCLUDE with the ANSWER any and all 15 transcripts or other documents necessary for the 16 resolution of the issues presented in the petition. 17 See Rule 5, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Any 18 argument by Respondent that a claim of Petitioner has 19 been procedurally defaulted SHALL BE MADE in the 20 ANSWER, but must also address the merits of the claim 21 asserted. 22

> B. A MOTION TO DISMISS the petition. A motion to dismiss SHALL INCLUDE copies of all Petitioner's state court filings and dispositive rulings. <u>See</u> Rule 5, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.<sup>3</sup>

27

26

23

24

25

<sup>28</sup> Respondent is advised that a scanned copy of the petition is available in the Court's electronic case filing system (CM/ECF).

If Respondent files an answer to the petition, Petitioner 4) 1 MAY FILE a traverse within THIRTY (30) days of the date 2 Respondent's answer is filed with the Court. If no 3 traverse is filed, the petition and answer are deemed 4 5 submitted at the expiration of the thirty (30) days. 5) If Respondent files a motion to dismiss, Petitioner SHALL 6 FILE an opposition or statement of non-opposition within 7 TWENTY-ONE (21) days of the date Respondent's motion is 8 filed with the Court. If no opposition is filed, the 9 motion to dismiss is deemed submitted at the expiration of 10 thirty (30) days. Any reply to an opposition to the motion 11 to dismiss SHALL BE FILED within SEVEN (7) DAYS after the 12 opposition is served. 13

6) Unless already submitted, both Respondent and Petitioner
SHALL COMPLETE AND RETURN to the Court within THIRTY (30)
days a consent/decline form indicating whether the party
consents or declines to consent to the jurisdiction of the
United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§ 636(c)(1).

7) The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to SERVE a copy of this order on the Attorney General or his representative.

20

21

22

23

<sup>3</sup> Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases provides that upon the Court's determination that summary dismissal is inappropriate, the "judge must order the respondent to file an answer, motion or other response within a fixed time, or to take other action the judge may order." Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases; <u>see also Advisory Committee Notes to Rules 4 and 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (stating that a dismissal may obviate the need for filing an answer on the substantive merits of the petition and that the respondent may file a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust); <u>White v. Lewis</u>, 874 F.2d 599, 602-03 (9th Cir. 1989) (providing that a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 4 is proper in a federal habeas proceeding).</u>

All motions shall be submitted on the record and briefs filed without oral argument unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Local Rule 230(1). Requests for extensions of time will only be granted upon a showing of good cause. All provisions of Local Rule 110 are applicable to this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: April 8, 2015