

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DELPHIA RAY,

Plaintiff,

V.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 1:14-CV-655-LJO-SMS

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

Plaintiff Philadelphia Ray, proceeding *in pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed her social security
complaint on May 2, 2014. Doc. 1. On May 22, 2014, this Court screened the complaint and
dismissed it for failure to state a claim with leave to amend. Doc. 5. Service was effectuated the
same day. Plaintiff was given thirty days to file an amended complaint curing the deficiencies, and
was advised that failure to file an amended complaint within thirty days would result in dismissal
with prejudice, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), for failure to state a claim. As of July 1,
Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

Hence, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that the action is DISMISSED with prejudice, and the case closed.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Court Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72-304 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court, Eastern District of California. Within thirty (30) days after being served with a copy, Petitioner may file written objections with the Court, serving a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” The Court will then review
2 the Magistrate Judge’s ruling pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The parties are advised that
3 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
4 Court’s order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.
7

8 Dated: July 7, 2015

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28