| 1        |  |   |
|----------|--|---|
| 2        |  |   |
| 3        |  |   |
| 4        |  |   |
| 5        |  |   |
| 6        |  |   |
| 7        |  |   |
| 8        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 9        | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 10       |  |   |
| 11       | DAVID C. PATKINS,  | Case No. 1:14-cv-00674-LJO-JLT (PC)   |
| 12       | Plaintiff,   | ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW<br>CAUSE AND ORDERING DEFENDANTS TO   |
| 13       | V.   | RE-SERVE THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY<br>JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFF  |
| 14       | ALOMARI, et al.,   | (Doc. 39)   |
| 15       | Defendants.  |   |
| 16       |  | ,   |
| 17       | Plaintiff is proceeding on the First Amended Complaint against Defendants I. Vargas and  |   |
| 18       | T. Davies for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment. The defendants filed a motion for   |   |
| 19       | motion for summary judgment and asset that the plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative  |   |
| 20       | remedies prior to filing suit is pending. (Docs. 34.) The Court granted the plaintiff's request to   |   |
| 21       | open discovery on the issue of exhaustion on April 13, 2016. (Doc. 38.) The order that granted   |   |
| 22       | Plaintiff's request set forth specific parameters as to what discovery was allowed, set a deadline   |   |
|          | Plaintiff's request set forth specific parameter   | ers as to what discovery was allowed, set a deadline  |
| 23       |  | ers as to what discovery was allowed, set a deadline<br>ered the plaintiff to file an opposition or a statement                   |
| 23<br>24 |  | ered the plaintiff to file an opposition or a statement   |
|          | for the completion of the discovery, and ord<br>of non-opposition to the motion by July 12,  | ered the plaintiff to file an opposition or a statement   |
| 24       | for the completion of the discovery, and ord<br>of non-opposition to the motion by July 12,<br>Two months after that deadline, the   | ered the plaintiff to file an opposition or a statement 2016. ( <i>Id.</i> )  |
| 24<br>25 | for the completion of the discovery, and ord<br>of non-opposition to the motion by July 12,<br>Two months after that deadline, the<br>action should not be dismissed for his failure | ered the plaintiff to file an opposition or a statement 2016. ( <i>Id.</i> )<br>Court ordered the plaintiff to show cause why the |

| 1  | Accordingly, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | 1. The order to show cause (Doc. 39) is <b>DISCHARGED</b> ;                                    |  |
| 3  | 2. No later than September 30, 2016, Defendants shall re-serve their motion for                |  |
| 4  | summary judgment and all accompanying documents on Plaintiff;                                  |  |
| 5  | 3. No later than October 31, 2016, Plaintiff shall file an opposition to the motion or a       |  |
| 6  | statement of non-opposition to Defendants' renewed motion for summary judgment.                |  |
| 7  | Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action |  |
| 8  | be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and to obey the court's order.               |  |
| 9  |  |  |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |
| 11 | Dated: September 28, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston<br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE           |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |
|    | 2  |  |