

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL DEMOND RUSSELL,

 Petitioner,

 v.

FREDRIC FOULK, Warden,

 Respondent.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00685 GSA HC

ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER’S
MOTION TO AMEND TO NAME A
PROPER RESPONDENT

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT
TO SUBSTITUTE RESPONDENT

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

DISCUSSION

On May 27, 2014, after conducting a preliminary review of the petition, the Court determined that Petitioner had failed to name a proper respondent. The Court granted Petitioner leave to file a motion to amend the petition in order to name a proper respondent. On June 16, 2014, Petitioner filed a motion to amend the petition to name Fredric Foulk, the warden of his institution, as Respondent. A petitioner seeking habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 must name the state officer having custody of him as the respondent to the petition. Rule 2 (a) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases; Ortiz-Sandoval v. Gomez, 81 F.3d 891, 894 (9th Cir. 1996); Stanley v. California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994). Normally, the person

1 having custody of an incarcerated petitioner is the warden of the prison in which the petitioner is
2 incarcerated because the warden has "day-to-day control over" the petitioner. Brittingham v.
3 United States, 982 F.2d 378, 379 (9th Cir. 1992); see also Stanley, 21 F.3d at 360. Therefore,
4 Petitioner's motion is proper and will be granted.

5 **ORDER**

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 7 1) Petitioner's motion to amend the petition is GRANTED;
8 2) The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to substitute Fredric Foulk as Respondent in this
9 matter.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: June 23, 2014

12 /s/ Gary S. Austin
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE