## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 JESUS ALEMAN, Case No.: 1:14-cv-00728-JLT 12 Petitioner, ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR ORDER (Doc. 17) 13 v. ORDER DISREGARDING PETITIONER'S 14 DIRECTOR OF CDCR, MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (Doc. 16) 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding in propria persona with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 18 19 The instant petition was filed on May 15, 2014. (Doc. 1). On May 23, 2014, the Court ordered 20 Respondent to file a response to the petition. (Doc. 5). Respondent filed his Answer on August 27, 21 2014. (Doc. 13). On October 1, 2014, Petitioner filed a motion for extension of time to file his Traverse. (Doc. 15). On October 7, 2014, Petitioner filed a second request for extension of time to 22 23 file the Traverse, despite the fact that the previous motion had not yet been ruled upon. (Doc. 16). On 24 the same date, Petitioner filed a motion for issuance of an order directing Respondent to facilitate 25 communication between Petitioner and his "jailhouse lawyer." (Doc. 17).

Petitioner's confinement, e.g., how the prison handles Petitioner's mail or contact between inmates,

Because the Court's habeas jurisdiction does not extend to issues relating to the conditions of

Because the second motion for extension of time was redundant, the Court will disregard it.

26

27

28

the Court will deny Petitioner's motion for issuance of an order directing Respondent to facilitate communication with the jailhouse lawyer. **ORDER** For the foregoing reasons, the Court HEREBY ORDERS as follows: Petitioner's motion for extension of time (Doc. 16), is DISREGARDED; and, 1. 2. Petitioner's motion for order (Doc. 17), is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>October 16, 2014</u> /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE