1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 TONY TENNENTO, Case No.: 1:14-cv-00772 - LJO - JLT 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER ADOPTING IN FULL THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS DISMISSING 13 v. PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR A VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT 14 CHRISTOPHER BOSTON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Tony Tennento is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action for a violation of his 18 civil rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 23, 2014, the Magistrate Judge reviewed Plaintiff's 19 complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1915, and found Plaintiff failed to state a cognizable claim for a 20 violation of the Eighth Amendment. (Doc. 11 at 4.) Therefore, the Magistrate Judge recommended 21 this claim be dismissed, and that the action proceed only on Plaintiff's claims for violations of his 22 rights arising under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. (Id. at 6.) 23 Plaintiff was granted fourteen days to file any objections to the recommendation of the 24 Magistrate Judge. (Id.) To date, no objections have been filed. Notably, prior to the issuance of the 25 Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff filed a notice of his willingness to proceed only on the 26 Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment claims. (Doc. 9.) 27 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and Britt v. Simi Valley United

School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the

28

case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: Plaintiff's claim for a violation of the Eighth Amendment is **DISMISSED**; and 1. The action SHALL proceed only on Plaintiff's claims for violations of the Fourth and 2. Fourteenth Amendment. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Dated: **August 18, 2014**