1 2 3 4 5 6 7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	JASON LAND,)	1:14-C	V-00789- JLT			
12	Plaintiff,)	SCHEI	DULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)			
13	v.)	Pleadir	ng Amendment Deadline: 12/8/2014			
14	CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, et al.,)	Discov	ery Deadlines:			
15	Defendant.)		Initial Disclosures: 9/22/2014			
16		<u>)</u>		Non-Expert: 9/22/2015 Expert: 11/13/2015			
17 18				Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 4/28/2015 at 9:00 a.m.			
10			Non-D	ispositive Motion Deadlines:			
20				Filing: 12/1/2015 Hearing: 12/29/2015			
20				-			
22				itive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 1/12/2016			
22				Hearing: 2/23/2016			
23			Settlen	nent Conference: 3/8/16 at 10:00 a.m.			
25							
26			Pre-Tri	al Conference: 4/4/2016 at 8:30 a.m.			
20				510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA			
28			Trial:	5/17/2016 at 8:30 a.m. 510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA Jury trial: 5-7 days			
		1		-			

I. **Date of Scheduling Conference** 1 2 September 9, 2014. 3 II. **Appearances of Counsel** Chantal Trujillo appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. 4 5 Heather Cohen appeared on behalf of Defendants. III. 6 **Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction** 7 The parties have indicated their willingness to consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge for any and all further proceedings in this case. (See Doc. 9 at 12.) Accordingly, the 8 matter has been reassigned to the United States Magistrate Judge. 9 IV. 10 **Pleading Amendment Deadline** Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or 11 12 motion to amend, no later than December 8, 2014. V. 13 **Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date** The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed .R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) 14 on or before September 22, 2014. 15 16 The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before September 22, 2015, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before November 13, 2015. 17 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses¹, in writing, on or before **October 2**, 18 2015, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before October 23, 2015. The written designation of 19 20 retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), 21 and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered 22 23 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order. 24 The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. 25 **Civ. P. 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to 26 27 ¹ In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health evaluation, this examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the

²⁸ examination SHALL occur sufficiency expert's opinions in this regard.

designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for April 28, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. A Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference Report, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one full week prior to the Conference and shall be e-mailed, in Word format to, JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel may appear by telephone, provided a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone then it shall be the obligation of the requesting part(ies) to arrange a Court Call conference.

18

VI. **Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

Exclusive of evidence, no written motion or opposition thereto may exceed 25 pages and no reply may exceed 10 pages absent prior leave of the Court.

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than December 1, 2015, and heard on or before December 29, 2015. Non-dispositive motions are heard at 9:00 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the 28 moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate

Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions by telephone, provided a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone then it shall be the obligation of the moving part(ies) to arrange and originate a conference call to the court.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **January 12, 2016**, and heard no later than February 23, 2016, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. In scheduling such motions,

counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party shall initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of 28 undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be

1

1	deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the					
2	joint statement of undisputed facts.					
3	In the notice of motion the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as					
4	ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.					
5	VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>					
6	April 4, 2016, at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California					
7	before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.					
8	The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2) .					
9	The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format,					
10	directly to Judge Thurston's chambers, by email at JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.					
11	Counsels' attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules of Practice for the					
12	Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.					
13	The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the					
14	Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the					
15	Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.					
16	IX. <u>Trial Date</u>					
17	May 17, 2016 at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California,					
18	before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.					
19	A. This is a Jury trial.					
20	B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 5-7 days.					
21	C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of					
22	California, Rule 285.					
23	X. <u>Settlement Conference</u>					
24	A Settlement Conference is scheduled for March 8, 2016 at 10:00 a.m., located at the Robert					
25	E. Coyle Federal Courthouse, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, Courtroom 7. The settlement					
26	conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto.					
27	Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case					
28	SHALL appear at the Settlement Conference with the parties and the person or persons having <u>full</u>					

<u>authority</u> to negotiate and settle the case <u>on any terms</u>² at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful³ settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, <u>no later than 14 days before</u> the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer including a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

9 If settlement is not achieved, each party SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to
10 their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents
11 shall not be filed on the court docket.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

13At least five court days beforethe Settlement Conference, the parties SHALL submit,14directly to Judge Oberto's chambers by e-mail to SKO@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement15Conference Statement. The statement should not be filedwith the Clerk of the Court nor served on16any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference17Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the18Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon

which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of

A brief statement of the facts of the case.

А.

B.

21 22

19

20

- 23
- 24
- 25
- -5 26

² Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement

agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be

represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

³ "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

1			prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.	
2		C.	A summary of the proceedings to date.	
3		D.	An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.	
4		E.	The relief sought.	
5		F.	The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history	
6			of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.	
7	XI.	<u>Reque</u>	est for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten	
8	<u>Trial</u>			
9		Not ap	plicable at this time.	
10	XII.	<u>Relate</u>	ed Matters Pending	
11		There	are no pending related matters.	
12	XIII.	<u>Comp</u>	liance with Federal Procedure	
13		All co	unsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
14	and the	e Local	Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any	
15	amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules to efficiently handle its			
16	increas	sing cas	e load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil	
17	Proced	lure and	the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.	
18	XIV.	Effect	of this Order	
19		The fo	regoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most	
20	suitabl	e to dis	pose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the	
21	parties	determ	ine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered	
22	to noti	fy the c	ourt immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by	
23	subseq	uent sta	atus conference.	
24		The da	ates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a	
25	showi	ng of go	ood cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations	
26	extend	ling the	e deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by	
27	affida	vits or (declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause	
28	for gra	anting	the relief requested.	
			7	

1	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated:September 10, 2014/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	