D.L. v. Vassilev et al

Doc. 15

D.L.,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,
v.

MARGARET VASSILEV, M.D., etal.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00824-AWI-BAM

ORDER SETTING MANDATORY
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

DATE: NOVEMBER 13, 2014

TIME: 9:00 A.M.

CTRM: #8 (6th Floor

BARBARA A. McAULIFFE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Rule 16, Fed.R.Civ.P., requires the Court to enter a Scheduling Conference Order within 120 days of the date of the Complaint being served upon the defendant. Therefore, it is ordered that you appear for a formal Scheduling Conference before United States Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe, in Courtroom 8 at the United States Courthouse, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, CA 93721.

The Court is unable to conduct a scheduling conference until the defendant(s) has/have been served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom plaintiff(s) will not pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to Fed.R.Civ.P., Rule 4, regarding the requirement of timely service of the complaint. Failure to serve summons and complaint timely may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of unserved

defendants.

Due to the mandates of Rule 16, this Order may be served upon counsel for the plaintiff(s) before appearances of defendant(s) are due. It is the obligation of counsel for the plaintiff(s) to serve a copy of this Order on the defendant(s), or, if identified, on their counsel, **promptly** upon receipt of this Order, and to file an appropriate proof of such service with the Court, in compliance with Rule 135(a) of the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

Attendance at the Scheduling Conference is *mandatory* by each party not represented by counsel or by retained counsel. Only counsel who are thoroughly familiar with the facts and the law of the instant case, and who have full authority to bind his or her client, shall appear. Trial counsel should participate in this Scheduling Conference whenever possible. It may be necessary for counsel to spend as much as 45 minutes in this Conference.

A Joint Scheduling Report, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel/pro se parties, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one (1) full week prior to the Scheduling Conference, and shall be e-mailed, in Word format, to bamorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

For reference purposes, the Court requires that counsels' Joint Scheduling Report indicate the date, time, and courtroom of the Scheduling Conference. This information is to be placed opposite the caption on the first page of the Report. Among other things, counsel will be expected to discuss the possibility of settlement. Counsel are to discuss settlement thoroughly with each other before undertaking the preparation of the Joint Scheduling Report and engaging in extensive discovery. However, even if settlement negotiations are progressing, counsel are expected to comply with the requirements of this Order unless otherwise excused by the Court. If the case is settled, please **promptly** inform the Court, and counsels' presence, as well as the Joint Scheduling Report, will not be required.

Counsel may request that their attendance be by telephonic conference. If two or more parties wish to appear telephonically, counsel shall decide which will be responsible for making prior arrangements for the conference call and shall initiate the call at the above—designated time. After all parties are on the line, the call should then be placed to Judge

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(559) 499-5789. Additionally, counsel are directed to indicate on the face page of their Joint Scheduling Report that the conference will be telephonic.

At least twenty (20) days prior to the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, trial counsel for all parties shall conduct and conclude a conference at a time and place arranged by counsel for the plaintiff(s). This conference preferably should be a personal conference between all counsel but, due to the distances involved in this District, a telephonic conference call involving all counsel/pro se parties is permissible. The Joint Scheduling Report shall respond to the following items by corresponding numbered paragraphs:

Form and Contents of the Joint Scheduling Report

- Summary of the factual and legal contentions set forth in the pleadings of each party, including the relief sought by any party presently before the Court.
- 2. A proposed deadline for amendments to pleadings shall be included. Any proposed amendment to the pleadings presently on file shall be filed by its proponent contemporaneously with the Scheduling Conference Report. If the matter cannot be resolved at the Scheduling Conference, the matter will be set as a Motion to Amend in accordance with the Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California.
 - 3. A summary of the uncontested and contested facts.
- 4 A summary of the legal issues as to which there is no dispute, e.g., jurisdiction, venue, applicable federal or state law, etc., as well as summary of the disputed legal issues.
- 5. The status of all matters which are presently set before the Court, e.g., hearings of motions, etc.
 - 6. A complete and detailed discovery plan addressing the following:
 - A date for the exchange of initial disclosures required by Fed. R. a.
- Civ. P. 26(a)(1) or a statement that disclosures have already been exchanged;
 - A firm cut-off date for non-expert discovery; b.

3

c. A firm date for disclosure of expert witnesses as required by Fed.

1	R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2);
2	d. A firm cut-off date for expert witness discovery;
3	e. Any proposed changes in the limits on discovery imposed by Fed.
4	R. Civ. P. 26(b); 30(a)(2)(A), (B); 30(d); or 33(a);
5	f. Whether the parties anticipate the need for a protective order
6	relating to the discovery of information relating to a trade secret or other confidential research,
7	development, or commercial information;
8	g. Any issues or proposals relating to the timing, sequencing, phasing
9	or scheduling of discovery;
10	h. Whether the parties anticipate the need to take discovery outside the
11	United States and, if so, a description of the proposed discovery;
12	i. Whether any party anticipates video and/or sound recording of
13	depositions; and
14	j. Whether the parties foresee a need for a Mid-Discovery Status
15	Report and Conference and, if so, a proposed date for conducting the conference.
16	7. Discovery relating to Electronic, Digital and/or Magnetic data. Prior to a
17	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference, counsel should carefully investigate their respective client's
18	information management system so that they are knowledgeable as to its operating, including
19	how information is stored and how it can be retrieved. Counsel shall also conduct a reasonable
20	review of their respective client's computer files to ascertain the contents thereof, including
21	archival and legacy data (outdated formats or media), and disclose in initial discovery (self-
22	executing routine discovery) the computer-based evidence which may be used to support claims
23	or defenses. A party seeking discovery of computer-based information shall notify the opposing
24	party immediately, but no later than the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference, of that fact and identify
25	as clearly as possible the categories of information which may be sought.
26	8. Duty to Meet and Confer. The parties shall meet and confer regarding the
27	following matters during the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference:
28	a. <u>Computer-based information (in general):</u> The parties shall attempt

1	/////
2	/////
3	12. An estimate of the number of trial days is required. If the parties cannot
4	agree, each party shall give his or her best estimate. In estimating the number of trial days, the
5	parties should keep in mind that this court is normally able to devote the entire day to trial.
6	13. Whether either party requests bifurcation or phasing of trial or has any
7	other suggestion for shortening or expediting discovery, pre-trial motions or trial.
8	14. Whether this matter is related to any matter pending in this court or any
9	other court, including bankruptcy court.
10	15. Joint Scheduling Reports are to be e-mailed, in Word format, to
11	<u>bamorders@caed.uscourts.gov.</u>
12	
13	Important Chambers' Information
14	The parties are directed to the courtroom calendar on the court's website at
15	www.caed.uscourts.gov for specific information regarding Chambers' procedures. Select
16	"McAuliffe" from the pull down menu and then click on "More Calendaring Information."
17	Information about law and motion, scheduling conferences, telephonic appearances, and
18	discovery disputes is provided at this link.
19	Should counsel or a party appearing pro se fail to appear at the Mandatory
20	Scheduling Conference, or fail to comply with the directions as set forth above, an ex parte
21	hearing may be held and judgment of dismissal, default, or other appropriate judgment
22	may be entered, or sanctions, including contempt of court, may be imposed and/or ordered
23	
24	Detade Anguet 1 2014 /s/ Realess A McAstille
25	Dated: August 1, 2014 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliff UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	
27	
28	