

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KARAMJIT KAUR,)	Case No.: 1:14-cv-00839- JLT
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER CLOSING THE MATTER
)	
v.)	(Doc. 18)
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

On September 11, 2015, the parties filed a stipulation seeking to dismiss the action. (Doc. 18) The stipulation relies upon Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, under which “the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Once such a notice has been filed, an order of the Court is not required to effectuate the dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997).

///
///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Based upon the foregoing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this action in light of the notice of dismissal with prejudice filed and properly signed pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 14, 2015

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE