1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GARY FRANCIS FISHER,	) Case No.: 1:14-cv-00901-BAM PC
12	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>) ORDER DENYING MOTION TO REOPEN CASE</li> <li>) DUE TO LATENESS OF MAIL AS MOOT (ECF</li> </ul>
13	v.	) DUE TO LATENESS OF MAIL AS MOOT (ECF ) No. 26)
14	DIRECTOR OF OPS OF CDCR,	)
15	Defendant.	)
16		
17	Plaintiff Gary Francis Fisher ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
18	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff initiated this action on June	
19	20, 2013, in the Northern District of California. On June 2, 2014, the Court reopened the action and	
20	directed Plaintiff to file a complaint. (ECF No. 16.) Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on June 10,	
21	2014. (ECF No. 18.) On the same date, the matter was transferred to this Court. (ECF No. 19.)	
22	On July 18, 2014, Plaintiff filed a document entitled "Motion to Reopen Case due to Lateness	
23	of mail; and judgment as a matter of law." (ECF No. 26.) Plaintiff's moving papers are rambling,	
24	disjointed and difficult to comprehend. To the best of the Court's determination, it appears that	
25	Plaintiff is requesting amendment of his complaint and the reopening of this case.	
26	However, this matter was reopened on June 2, 2014, and Plaintiff's amended complaint was	
27	filed with the Court on June 20, 2014. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion, filed on July 18, 2014, is	
28		
		1

1	HEREBY DENIED as moot. Plaintiff is advised that his amended complaint will be screened in due
2	course.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: July 25, 2014 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2