

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RONALD MOORE,

Plaintiff.

v.

DARSHAN SINGH MANN dba JOHNNY
QUIK #109, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:14-cv-00904---SKO

**ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF
COURT TO ADMINISTRATIVELY
CLOSE CASE**

On September 17, 2014, Plaintiff Ronald Moore (“Plaintiff”) filed a Stipulation for Dismissal of this action which was signed by all parties, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i).

In relevant part, Rule 41(a)(1)(A) provides as follows:

[A] plaintiff may dismiss an action with a court order by filing: . . . (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A).

1 "The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his claims,
2 through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and the dismissal "automatically terminates the action as to
3 the defendants who are the subjects of the notice." *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688,
4 692 (9th Cir. 1997). At this stage in the proceedings, Plaintiff has the absolute right to dismiss
5 his claims, without prejudice. *Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, L.L.C. v. Davis*, 267 F.3d
6 1042, 1049 (9th Cir. 2001). The filing of the notice itself has the effect of closing the action,
7 and the Court no longer has jurisdiction over the claims. *Id.*

8 Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is HEREBY DIRECTED to close this file pursuant
9 to the Stipulation for Dismissal filed on September 17, 2014.

10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13 Dated: September 29, 2014

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27