

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROGER ROBERTSON,

 Petitioner,

 v.

MARIPOSA COUNTY COURT, et al.,

 Respondents.

No. 2:14-cv-1313 GGH P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his application, petitioner challenges a conviction issued by the Mariposa County Superior Court. Mariposa County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. This court will not rule on petitioner’s request to proceed in forma pauperis.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This court has not ruled on petitioner’s request to proceed in forma pauperis;
2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

1 California sitting in Fresno; and

2 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be

3 filed at:

4 United States District Court
5 Eastern District of California
6 2500 Tulare Street
7 Fresno, CA 93721

8 Dated: June 13, 2014

9 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 /md; robe1313.109

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28