1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	GEORGE MCCLURE,	Case No.: 1:14-cv-00932-DAD-GSA (PC)
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO FILE A RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VOID THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
9	v. )	
10	C.K. CHEN,	
11	Defendant.	[ECF No. 100]
12	)	
13	On June 7, 2019, the undersigned held a settlement conference with the parties, and the case	
14	settled with the terms placed on the record. On June 17, 2019, the parties filed a stipulation for	
15	voluntary dismissal, the case was closed on June 18, 2019. (ECF Nos. 98,99.)	
16	On June 24, 2019, Plaintiff filed a motion to void the settlement agreement. (ECF No. 100.)	
17	The Court finds a response by Defendant is necessary to fully address Plaintiff's motion which	
18	includes a claim that he was offered \$10,000 prior to the settlement conference. The matter was	
19	referred to the undersigned by the assigned judge on January 10, 2020, since this Court handled the	
20	settlement matter.	
21	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant shall file a response to Plaintiff's	
22	motion within seven (7) days from the date of service of this order.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIZ
25	Dated: <b>January 10, 2020</b>	July N. Lave
26	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		