

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOSEPH GRIFFIN,)	Case No.: 1:14-cv-00933- JLT
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT’S REQUEST
)	FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME
v.)	
)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,)	(Doc. 12)
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

On April 2, 2015, the parties stipulated for Defendant to have a second extension of time to respond to Plaintiff’s opening brief. (Doc. 12.) The Scheduling Order permits a single thirty-day extension by the stipulation of parties. (Doc. 3 at 4). Any further requests for an extension must be made via a motion by the party seeking to amend the briefing schedule, and supported by good cause. (*Id.*) Because the parties previously stipulated for an extension of time in this action, the Court construes the stipulation filed to be a motion by Defendant to amend the Scheduling Order.

Defendant’s counsel requests an extension of fourteen days because she “was unexpectedly out of the office for a one-week medical leave” and “is currently considering the possible settlement of this matter without further action of the Court.” (Doc. 12 at 1.)

///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Based upon the foregoing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 1. The request for an extension of time (Doc. 12) is **GRANTED**;
- 2. Defendant **SHALL** file and serve a response to Plaintiff's opening brief on or before **April 15, 2015**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 6, 2015

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE