

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAVIER SOLIS,  
  
                                Plaintiff,  
  
                                v.  
  
QUIROZ, et al.,  
  
                                Defendants.

No. 1:14-cv-00937-DAD-BAM

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING  
DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANTS  
ALBONETTI AND KING FOR FAILURE TO  
EFFECTUATE SERVICE OF PROCESS

(Doc. Nos. 32, 35, 37)

Plaintiff Javier Solis, proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on June 19, 2014. (Doc. No. 1.) On May 22, 2016, the assigned magistrate judge issued an order requiring plaintiff to show cause why defendants Albonetti and King should not be dismissed from the action due to plaintiff’s failure to provide sufficient information to effectuate service upon them. (Doc. No. 35.) Plaintiff did not comply or otherwise respond to the order to show cause.

On June 3, 2016, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that defendants Albonetti and King be dismissed from this action, without prejudice, due to plaintiff’s failure to effectuate service of the summons and complaint upon them pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fourteen (14)

1 days after service. (Doc. No. 37.) More than fourteen days have passed and no objections have  
2 been filed.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a  
4 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings  
5 and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

6 Accordingly,

- 7 1. The findings and recommendations (Doc. No. 37) issued on June 3, 2016, are adopted  
8 in full; and
- 9 2. Defendants Albonetti and King are dismissed from this action, without prejudice, due  
10 to plaintiff's failure to timely effectuate service of the summons and complaint upon  
11 those defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: July 21, 2016

14   
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28