1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	GILFREDO LOPEZ,	1:14-cv-01003-LJO-BAM (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF
12	v.	TIME TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	D. BERKEBILE, et al.,	(ECF No. 10)
14	Defendants.	(ECI No. 10)
15		
16		
17	Plaintiff is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil action	
18	pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388,	
19	91 S.Ct. 1999 (1971). On January 28, 2015, the undersigned issued Findings and	
20	Recommendations regarding dismissal of claims against staff at USP Big Sandy and USP	
21	Hazelton without prejudice for improper venue and dismissal of action with prejudice for failure	
22	to state a claim. The Findings and Recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained	
23	notice that any objections were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 9, p. 5.) Plaintiff's	
24	objections were due on or before February 17, 2015.	
25	On February 27, 2015, Plaintiff filed the instant motion for an extension of time to file his	
26	objections to the Findings and Recommendat	ions. (ECF No. 10.) Although untimely, Plaintiff

explains that he mailed his original request for an extension of time on February 5, 2015, but the

mail was returned to him for issues with his postage. (ECF No. 10, p. 2.) Plaintiff requests

additional time to file his objections because the institution was on lockdown status and he had no access to the law library. Good cause having been presented to the court and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: Plaintiff is granted 30 days from the date of service of this order in which to file his objections, if any, to the Findings and Recommendations. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1s/Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: March 2, 2015